Frad. (2)

IC 74-2065

**X**1

Director, Defense Intelligence Agency
Washington, D. C. 20310

Dear Danny:

Before leaving on his trip, Mr. Colby asked me to respond to Vince's letter of 29 August and to bring you up to date on where we stand on the "uncertainties" matter. As one of the first steps, we are going to focus on some of the more critical judgments in NIE 11-3/8-74 and try to fully lay out our uncertainties in the clearest possible manner. Some of the things that will be given a critical look are ICBM accuracies, RV weights and their related yields, and SLBM accuracies. Other matters will, of course, be given the same treatment if it becomes clear that they are critical in determining the likely utility of a weapon system.

I feel that this NIE is a good one with which to begin the process, and I also feel that many of the steps taken and lessons learned will be applicable to other products of a similar nature.

Sincerely,

C COMED

Samuel V. Wilson
Lieutenant General, USA
Deputy to the DCI for the
Intelligence Community

Distribution: Orig - Addressee			
1 - DCI			0.51/
1 - DDCI			25X <sup>2</sup>
1 - ER			
1 - D/DCI/IC			
1 - IC Registry			
1 - PRD Chrono			
1 - PRD/TB			
1 - JT Chrono	1.0.0-4.74		
IC/PRD:	etyped 8 Oct 74	<i>)</i>	

Approved For Release 2004/03/31 : CIA-RDP80M0 082A000800030003-2

المناء والمدن

**STAT** Approved For Release 2004/03/31 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000800030003-2 **Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt** 

IC-74-2013

Vice Admiral V. P. de Poix, USN Director, Defense Intelligence Agency Room 3E258 The Pentagon

Dear Vince:

Earlier this month Mr. Colby sent you a letter responding to your request that we attempt to somehow better quantify intelligence uncertainties. I have given the matter some further thought and I feel that one of the first steps that should be taken is to poll our primary users of military estimates, i.e., the DOD and the NSC, to find out in which particular areas we should try to quantify our uncertainties to the greatest degree of specificity. For example, I doubt that it would be as important to them for us to try to quantify our uncertainty regarding the maximum operational altitude of the SA-2 to the nth degree as it would be for us to try to do so on the CEP of the SS-X-19. In other words, I am confident that quantifying our degree of uncertainty is vastly more important in some areas than in others. Rather than trying to quantify our uncertainties in judgments across the board, we should find out what is critical and focus on those particular matters.

Sincerely,

Daniel O. Graham
Lieutenant General, USA
Deputy to the DCI for the
Intelligence Community

25X1

Distribution:	
Original - A	Addressee
1 - 1	D/DCI/IC
	AC/PRD-PRDChrono
(1)-1	IC Registry
1 - 1	TB Chrono
1 - 3	JT Chrono
IC/PRD/TB:	(21AUG74)

25X1

## Approved For Release 2004/03/31 : CIA-RDP80M010824000800030003-2

DCI/IC 74-1494

STAT

Vice Admiral V. P. de Poix, USN Director, Defense Intelligence Agency Room 3E258 The Pentagon

Dear Vince:

I am quite in sympathy with your views concerning how we can best quantify our uncertainties in the various judgments set forth in our many publications. This is a problem that has plagued the producers of intelligence for many, many years. I am not sure that it is one that can be completely resolved but, at least in certain critical areas, I believe that some constructive steps can be taken.

I propose that, for a start, we ask the community's producers of scientific and technical intelligence to see if they can develop some better means of expressing uncertainty on specific issues which are likely to have an especially significant impact on major questions of the day. For example, our uncertainties concerning the accuracy of a given ICBM and the weight and yield of its warhead(s) are clearly considerations which our consumers should take into account when they seek to assess the utility of that weapon against a Minuteman silo.

I believe that, initially, we should avoid setting up a new mechanism to take on a task much broader in scope. If you agree, I will broach the subject at a USIB meeting in the near future and ask the appropriate USIB Committees to see what they can do along these lines. We might ask the IC Staff to track the steps actually taken or needed, and suggest to us any specific areas appropriate for the type of intensive study you suggested. Meanwhile, I would certainly agree that DIA take a leading role in the areas you have worked on. It may be that whatever advances can be made will prove beneficial in guiding us in areas other than the scientific and technical fields.

Sincerely,

W. E Colby

Executive Registry

Approved For Release 2004/03/31 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000800030003-2 74-4030/4

Production 2)

8 AUG 1974

Vice Admiral V. P. de Poix, USN Director, Defense Intelligence Agency Room 3E258 The Pentagon

Dear Vince:

I am quite in sympathy with your views concerning how we can best quantify our uncertainties in the various judgments set forth in our many publications. This is a problem that has plagued the producers of intelligence for many, many years. I am not sure that it is one that can be completely resolved but, at least in certain critical areas, I believe that some constructive steps can be taken.

I propose that, for a start, we ask the community's producers of scientific and technical intelligence to see if they can develop some better means of expressing uncertainty on specific issues which are likely to have an especially significant impact on major questions of the day. For example, our uncertainties concerning the accuracy of a given ICBM and the weight and yield of its warhead(s) are clearly considerations which our consumers should take into account when they seek to assess the utility of that weapon against a Minuteman silo.

I believe that, initially, we should avoid setting up a new mechanism to take on a task much broader in scope. If you agree, I will broach the subject at a USIB meeting in the near future and ask the appropriate USIB Committees to see what they can do along these lines. We might ask the IC Staff to track the steps actually taken or needed, and suggest to us any specific areas appropriate for the type of intensive study you suggested. Meanwhile, I would certainly agree that DIA take a leading role in the areas you have worked on. It may be that whatever advances can be made will prove beneficial in guiding us in areas other than the scientific and technical fields.

Sincerely,

/3/ DIII

W. E. Colby

Approved For Release 2004/03/31: CIA-RDP80M01082A000800030003-2

STAT

ICS/PRD/TB: (2 August 1974)

Distribution:
 Orig-Addressee
 1-DCI
 1-DDCI
 1-ER
 1-PRD/PRD Chrono
 1-IC Registry
 1-TB Chrono
 1-USIB Chrono

The Barrey of th

Aus 7 11 23 AM 174

25X1 Approved For Release 2004/03/31 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000800030003-2 

## THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20505

Vice Admiral V. P. de Poix, USN Director, Defense Intelligence Agency Room 3E258 The Pentagon

Dear Vince:

I am quite in sympathy with your views concerning how we can best quantify our uncertainties in the various judgments set forth in our many publications. This is a problem that has plagued the producers of intelligence for many, many years. I am not sure that it is one that can be completely resolved but, at least in certain critical areas, I believe that some constructive steps can be taken.

I propose that, for a start, we ask the community's producers of scientific and technical intelligence to see if they can develop some better means of expressing uncertainty on specific issues which are likely to have an especially significant impact on major questions of the day. For example, our uncertainties concerning the accuracy of a given ICBM and the weight and yield of its warhead(s) are clearly considerations which our consumers should take into account when they seek to assess the utility of that weapon against a Minuteman silo.

I believe that, initially, we should avoid setting up a new mechanism to take on a task much broader in scope. If you agree, I will broach the subject at a USIB meeting in the near future and ask the appropriate USIB Committees to see what they can do along these lines. It may be that whatever advances can be made will prove beneficial in guiding us in areas other than the scientific and technical fields.

Sincerely,

The world cartainly

W. E. Colby

W. E. Colby

The steps actually taken we will

and suggest to us

Approved For Release 2004/03/31: CIA-RDP80M01082A000800030003-2

	SENDER WILL CHE	ECK CL	ASSIFICATION	TOP A	ND BO	ттом		
	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDE	NTIAL		SECRET		
•	. OFFIC	CIAL	ROUTING	G SLI	P			
то	NAME ANI	D ADD	RESS	DA	ΓE	INITIALS		
	Executive Rec	gistr	у	1				
1	7E12, Hqrs.		·	ļ				
2								
3								
4								
5		~~~~~						
6								
	ACTION	1	DIRECT REPLY	P	REPARE	REPLY		
	APPROVAL	1	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION				
	COMMENT		FILE		RETURN			
	CONCURRENCE	<del>}</del>	INFORMATION	++-		SIGNATURE		
Rer	narks:							
Rer	narks:			S	тат			
Rer		HERE 1	TO RETURN TO					
	FOLD F		O RETURN TO	) SENDE		DATE		
	FOLD	ADDRE	SS AND PHONE	) SENDE		DATE 24 JUL		

DC1/10-74-1494

Executive Registry

14-4030/A

Vice Admiral V. P. de Poix, USN Director, Defense Intelligence Agency Room 3E258 The Pentagon

Dear Vince:

I am quite in sympathy with your views concerning how we can best quantify our uncertainties in the various judgments set forth in our many publications. This is a problem that has plagued the producers of intelligence for many, many years. I am not sure that it is one that can be completely resolved but, at least in certain critical areas, I believe that some constructive steps can be taken.

I propose that, for a start, we ask the community's producers of scientific and technical intelligence to see if they can develop some better means of expressing uncertainty on specific issues which are likely to have an especially significant impact on major questions of the day. For example, our uncertainties concerning the accuracy of a given ICBM and the weight and yield of its warhead(s) are clearly considerations which our consumers should take into account when they seek to assess the utility of that weapon against a Minuteman silo.

I believe that, initially, we should avoid setting up a new mechanism to take on a task much broader in scope. If you agree, I will broach the subject at a USIB meeting in the near future and ask the appropriate USIB Committees to see what they can do along these lines. It may be that whatever advances can be made will prove beneficial in guiding us in areas other than the scientific and technical fields.

Sincerely,

STAT

W. E. Colby

ICS/PRD/TB:
Distribution:
Orig.-Addressee
1-DCI
1-DDCI
1-DDCI
1-ER

Sincerely,

W. E. Colby

1-IC Registry
1-TB Chrono

STAT

DCI/IC 741693

12 July 1974

STAT

MEMORANDUM	FOR:	
THROUGH	:	
		ja L

SUBJECT

: Uncertainties in Intelligence

## STAT

VADM De Poix's proposal with the NIO for Strategic Programs. Since that time, I have solicited the views of other people on the IC Staff. It appears that everyone is generally of the same mind, with Mr. Stoertz the most adamant, that it would be unwise to get wrapped up in any such effort.

- 2. The problems associated with conveying uncertainty are inherent in the intelligence process. A principal reason, of course, is that "uncertainty" cannot be separated from the substance of the data at hand. A more precise delineation of uncertainty requires more confidence in the available data, which, in turn, means that the substantive issue itself has been more clearly understood.
- 3. Mr. Stoertz concurs that the presentation of uncertainties is a continuing problem. He points out, however, that this problem is repeatedly being addressed by the community each time an estimate or position paper is developed on any given issue. Further, he is emphatic that this problem should be handled within the NIO structure and not by the creation of yet another bureaucratic study group.
- 4. If you agree there is little merit in the DIA proposal, we recommend a low-key, perhaps verbal, response by the DCI to dissuade the Admiral.

		STAT
Attachment: Proposal Distribution: Original - Addressee, w/att 1 - PRD Chrono, w/o att STAT IC Registry w/att DCI/IC/PROJECT Release 2004/03/31: CIA-	1 - PRD/TB Chrono, w/o att 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	STAT
DCI/IC/FRD/IB.		

:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL	]		
1	DCI		X					
2	DDCI		·					
3	S/MC					1 .	•	•
4	DDS&T		Х			1		
5	DDI		X			1 1		•
6	DDM&S							•
7	DDO					1 1		
/8	D/DCI/IC	X		:		1 1	•	
9	D/DCI/NIO	<b>A</b> 100	X		٠,			•
10	OGC '	·						
11	OLC				3			-
12	IG							
13	Compt				·			
14	D/Pers				,			
15	D/S			:				
16	DTR							•
17	Asst/DCI							
18	AO/DCI	•				1		
19								
20	-			-				
21		·						
22								
	SUSPENSE			L	-			•
		D.	ate .		· '		• .	
:S:							•	
	Diagon	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b></b> •	,			:	
m	Please re	eview an	d provi	de recon	n-			
me	endations	to DCI.	<u>.</u>			—┪. │		•

STAT

	Mille Inchian 1
Approved For Release 200	4/03/31 : CIA432480M01082A000800030003
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL D SEGRET
OIACTWOOLIFF	

## EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT Routing Slip

то:			ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
	1	DCI				
	2	DDCI				
	3	S/MC				<u> </u>
	4	DDS&T		7		
	5	DDI		4/7		
	6	DDM&S				
	7	DDO			ļ	
	8	D/DCI/IC		N		
	9	D/DCI/NIO			<b></b>	
	10	OGC			ļ	
	11	OLC				
	12	IG				
	13	Compt				
	14	D/Pers				
	15	D/S				
	16	DTR				
	17	Asst/DCI				
	18	AO/DCI				
	19	14/10	íl	<u> </u>		
	20	F12 / 157	dr			
	21					
	22			<u></u>		
		SUSPENSE		Date	_	

Remarks:				
		Г		
	 		vecutive	Secretary

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt